Vaccines: Moral and Ethical Questions

By Barbara Skurnowicz

Editor's Note: Barbara Skurnowicz lives in Michigan with her husband John. She is a Catholic mother of 3 vaccine-injured daughters. She has been researching the history and complications from the vaccination program for over 20 years, after her daughters had serious adverse reactions from their childhood vaccines. She is currently founder and president of HealthCare Professionals for Vaccine Choice in Michigan, an organization seeking legislation to prevent forced vaccinations as a condition of employment in Michigan for health care workers. This is a transcript from her speech at the "Fatima: the Path to Peace" conference in Niagara Falls, Sept 8-13, 2013. Besides Mrs. Skurnowicz's family, I know of three vaccine-damaged individuals, two of which the damage is severe – J.V.

th a quote by the Venerable Bishop Fulton J. Sheen: "Truth is truth even if nobody believes it, error is error even if everybody believes it." Please keep this in mind as I attempt to dispel some myths regarding the safety and efficacy of current vaccination policies in the U.S. and abroad.

In order for me to achieve my goal of having you think outside the box, a paradigm shift in the way you may regard vaccinations so to speak, I need you to keep the "truth is truth" and "error is error" quote of Bishop Sheen in mind for the duration of my talk. I do not benefit financially from this mission and my sole purpose in speaking on this issue is strictly educational. If you are seeking medical advice talk to your doctor, this is strictly an educational forum.

There is a medical crisis in the U.S. We have the highest infant mortality rate in the industrialized world, more than twice that of countries like Japan and Sweden, and yet we spend more for health care than any other country on the planet. Why are our infants dying? Why do one in four of our children have a mental health issue? Why do one in fifty of our children have autism? I hope to shed some light this hour on what evidence shows conclusively: that the current accelerated vaccination schedule that began in the late 1980's *is* playing a major role in these new, troubling statistics. Not the *only* role but certainly an important role.

In 1940 some children got four shots but never more than one shot per doctor visit. In 1980 children got eight shots and never more than two shots per visit. Fast forward to 2013 and the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) has directed that a child can receive eight or more vaccines safely in a single visit. We now have a minimum of 22 vaccines from the first day of birth until the age of six months. Minimum, because there are new guidelines for 2013 and, if the mother complies, she is getting a flu vaccine while pregnant as well as the recommended Tdap (tetanus, diphtheria and pertussis) vaccines after 20 weeks gestation and the Tdap again with each subsequent pregnancy. With the current CDC schedule in the U.S., a child will receive 69 doses of 16 vaccines between the day of birth and their 18th birthday.

Immunization or Vaccination?

I realize that most of you here and others listening to my presentation may still think that vaccinations are "safe and effective" and that they save lives, so you may not necessarily find anything wrong with this increased vaccine schedule policy. ... After all you may be thinking didn't the polio vaccines wipe out polio? Believe me I am very familiar with that push- back question and many more when it comes to the discussion of the sacred cow topic of vaccination policies.

I will get back to that polio question but for now, for my immediate purpose, I would like to clarify the terms "vaccination" and "immunization." We are programmed to think of immunization and vaccination as synonyms, as if the end result or intent of both terms is the same. The term immunization is used in both medical and mainstream media, creating

a semantic reality of being automatically immunized when you are vaccinated. In reality, there is a big difference.

Immunization means immunity has or will be achieved.

Vaccination, by contrast, may or may not give immunity but rather it refers to the act of orally or injecting vaccine into the body.

You will notice, I very rarely use the term immunization because there is *no* certainty when or if the condition of immunity occurs when vaccinating. I hope that's clear.

Let me ask: Would you consent to getting the 22 vaccines in the next six months that newborn infants are expected to receive? I am assuming that most of you would be hesitant even though those 22 vaccines would be going into an adult body with a mature immune system. For those of you who might still be willing to submit, let me inform you that the flu shot multi-dose vial contains 25 mcgs. of neurotoxic mercury. Why is mercury still in flu shots? It is the second most toxic element on the planet. If you can't eat it, what makes us think it is safe to inject it into a preborn baby or you or anyone for that matter? Do we think that injecting a neurotoxic substance into a body will not very likely send someone down a neurodegenerative path? Are you still considering getting the flu shot, one of those 22 vaccines on the schedule for infants in the next six months?

As for the Tdap, tetanus, diphtheria, pertussis vaccines, perhaps one of the most controversial of vaccinations now being recommended during pregnancy, the Centers for Disease Control in Atlanta explains the need for these three vaccines in utero as follows: "Pregnant women are recommended to get Tdap vaccine with each pregnancy to increase protection for infants who are too young for vaccination but at highest risk for severe illness and death from pertussis."

So it is safe according to the CDC for the preborn baby but not the newborn infant, because the newborn infant is too young for vaccination. Does that statement make sense to anyone or is it lost on you as it is on me? The fact that those who have been vaccinated with pertussis recently are most of those getting whooping cough seems to be lost on these people determining policy. This is an example of the disordered mentality determining vaccination policy and practice in the U.S.

Let me stress again this Tdap vaccination is not just to be given to the mother for the current pregnancy but each subsequent pregnancy.... This new recommendation is shocking. If vaccines work the way they are promised, why would a pregnant mother need the Tdap vaccinations every pregnancy? Why would an unborn baby need a tetanus shot in the Tdap? I assure you what it does not need is the 625 mcgs. of aluminum per dose which is also highly neurotoxic like the 25 mcgs. of mercury in the flu shot given in utero while this baby is struggling to get born into the world.

After delivery, this same baby will be jabbed within 12 hours of birth with a Hepatitis B shot with another 250 mcgs. of neurotoxic aluminum. Hepatitis B is for sexually promiscuous adults and drug users sharing dirty needles and so the next question is why would a newborn need a Hep B shot?

On the day of birth, if the mother has followed the vaccination schedule, this baby is already at the tipping point for heavy metal aluminum and mercury neurotoxicity. Are the statistics I began with regarding chronic mental and physical health issues of our population starting to make some sense to you? Even if you believe that vaccines are safe and effective to prevent disease, does that override the danger of the heavy metal toxicity from the mercury and aluminum in the vaccines for these infants?

What about other added excipient materials in the vaccines like formaldehyde, genetically modified yeast, animal, bacterial and viral DNA, MSG, chick embryos, pig tissue, peanut oil, and the list goes on.

By 1980 peanut oil had become the preferred excipient in vaccines. It is considered an adjuvant, a substance able to increase reactivity to the vaccine. In other words, boost its effectiveness. This has led to sensitization later when the child is re-exposed to peanuts. As vaccines doubled between the 80s and 90s, thousands of children were exhibiting severe peanut sensitivities, including anaphylaxis reactions, sometimes fatal. We now have over a million children with peanut allergies. This is a vaccine-created epidemic.

Still, most people have no knowledge of the risks of vaccination. They have been reassured there are no down sides only benefits of this medical procedure. Where risk of injury or death is acknowledged, it is passed off as rare, coincidental and inconsequential compared to the perceived benefits to individuals and to society as a whole.

Risks

On the one hand you have those that believe there are no risks to vaccinations and those that believe that vaccines are dangerous. In the middle of this schism a growing number of people are hearing that the debate is brewing and would prefer not to

know the negative issues regarding vaccinations. Those questions and issues regarding risks threaten the belief system that our conventional health care system is predicated upon, that is "Vaccines are safe and effective" and a boon to mankind.

The proponents of mass vaccination might have you think there is a conspiracy of quacks and unfit parents trying to thwart medical progress, when the truth of the matter is that the mounting evidence of malfeasance is undeniable as the conspiracy of silence about this conflict is brought to light.

The first issue is whether vaccines *are* safe and effective. Vaccine proponents, while they admit there are some risks, keep assuring us that vaccines are safe and vaccination saves lives. Those who question, they say, forget or are unaware of how devastating diseases were before the advent of vaccines. They raise that polio question again.

With killer epidemics of infectious disease like polio a thing of the past, why not credit mass vaccination? Indeed, this assertion is taken at face value, even though statistics show that better nutrition and improved hygiene are as much a contributing factor to the eradication of killer epidemics as mass vaccination is purported to be. Something so simple as improved sanitation, eating nourishing food and washing hands is rarely mentioned at the same level of prevention of disease as vaccines with virtually no risk to the immune system.

The fact is, the stellar role that mass vaccination is perceived to play in public health *does not* preclude a possible secondary role in *also* being a cause of chronic, debilitating and, in many ways, painful immunologic and neurological disorders. Proponents of mandatory vaccination say absolutely not. Parents like myself of chronically ill children, parents of peanut allergic children, a growing number of medical professionals and other reasonable people are beginning to wonder. Hence the vaccine controversy.

The simple truth is vaccination is a serious medical procedure that carries an inherent risk of injury or death. Vaccination is the only medical procedure that is forced upon us by law. For instance, no vaccination, no school, or now in some cases courts are intervening and children are being removed from the home because the parents are refusing to vaccinate. Individuals should have the right to choose the type of preventative health care they want including choosing whether to get 1, 10, 22, 69 or no vaccines for themselves or their children.

"Herd Immunity?"

A common belief that the majority of people have

shared since the advent of vaccines is that vaccination improves public health and that mass vaccination protects everyone's health. This is referred to as "herd immunity." The presumed logic is that high vaccination rates are necessary in order to prevent the return of terrible diseases. In order to protect the public, the public must be vaccinated. It is not clear whether vaccination became mandatory because the public cannot be trusted to always fulfill their obligations to society so laws were created to enforce compliance, or whether because vaccination is a medical procedure that carries risk of injury or death, it must be mandated.

If the rationale that mass vaccination protects everyone's health were true, rational people would likely be willing to take the risk of injury or death from vaccination, and people everywhere would suppose that forcing this medical procedure upon entire populations is noble, even though not moral or ethical. In fact, this is what we have believed and why we have been so willing to sanction this violation of basic human rights.

However the variables have changed. As I've mentioned, we now have a new, more insidious epidemic occurring, the consequences of which are not fully understood or realized. The rising epidemic of autoimmune diseases including peanut and food sensitivity and neurological dysfunction in our children such as Autism, Attention Deficit Disorder, Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder, Obsessive Compulsive Disorder, Oppositional Defiance Disorder, Pervasive Developmental Disorder, to name just a few disorders begs, now more than ever, the question: IS vaccination somehow involved? Is vaccination a contributing factor?

Yet, even as the credible empirical and scientific data coming in suggests so, our regulatory agencies and the pharmaceutical companies that profit from mandatory vaccinations continue to assure us that injecting a plethora of viruses, foreign DNA protein, toxic chemicals and dangerous levels of heavy metals into the bodies of our young children does not adversely affect their immune systems and for us Not to Worry. In fact they implore us to ignore what we see, what we read and hear about, as they relentlessly remind us of our obligation to society.

The rationale for mandated mass vaccination is based on the notion that the risk of injury or death from vaccines is relative to the risk of injury or death without vaccine. In other words, the perceived benefit of saving millions of lives from the scourge of epidemics by mass vaccination outweighs the purportedly rare incidence of death by vaccines. Thus, the Machiavellian view, "the end justifies the means." This, as we learn from studying history, is a

dangerous precedent for government intrusion and leads to unbridled, unchecked and unlawful power. Yet the majority of people think that mandating this risky medical procedure is appropriate. Why? Because "vaccination is about the public health" meaning that individual choice is no longer relevant.

If it were true that vaccination protects the public health and improves immunity, one might be willing to play the game of vaccine roulette in order to gain such a benefit. However, the science simply does not support this hypothesis any longer. The truth is that the justification for compulsory injection of vaccinations containing toxic chemicals and foreign proteins is based on a flawed and outdated but pervasive belief that vaccination safely stimulates an immune response to the vaccination and the desired immunization occurs exactly the same way for everyone.

Yet the most advanced science in immunity proves that the human immune system cannot be tricked, suppressed or controlled into accepting or tolerating any foreign DNA or proteins — whether by infection, injection or transplantation — without unpredictable immunologic consequences. Vaccine technology is based on a more than a two hundred year old theory and advanced science simply does not support this "predictable identical immune response for everyone" hypothesis any longer.

With an epidemic of autoimmune diseases literally crippling our next generation of adults with one in two children having chronic illness and one in six suffering with learning disabilities, there is no question that vaccination is about public health. Perhaps it is time to expand our myopic view that high vaccination rates and low incidence of infectious disease *alone* constitutes public health. The fact is, our beliefs about vaccination are founded on precepts that are no longer valid – the variables have changed, and so too the outcome.

With all this in mind, consider this: At present, nearly 140 million doses of 2013-14 flu vaccines containing the controversial mercury preservative, Thimerosal, are in production. Since the public is constantly reassured that mercury has been removed from vaccines, most people are unaware that it has never been removed from any multi dose vial flu vaccine. It is used as a preservative in the multidose vials despite the lack of research with regard to safety.

Let me repeat, there have never been credible safety studies as to the safety of mercury in vaccines. It was developed and registered in 1929 by Eli Lilly and grandfathered into vaccines without the need for studies, and has been used since without any

further research.

Congressman Dan Burton chaired hearings in Washington in 2002. The focus of the hearings was The Status of Research into Vaccine Safety and its impact on Autism. Upon learning of the lack of safety studies regarding Thimerosal in vaccines during the hearings Congressman Burton produced a memo indicating the Food and Drug Administration was aware that Thimerosal in vaccines was dangerous. He asked a witness at the hearings "You mean to tell me that since 1929 we've been using thimerosal in vaccines, and the only test that you know of – those people had meningitis, and all died?"

Let me explain: With this question he attempted to enlighten the witness by asking how could you know if Thimerosal is safe if you used it on dying people? Are these the best test subjects for testing safety of a product? "Some safety study!" he could have added.

Congressman Burton had a personal reason for being infuriated at the lack of safety studies. His grandson, Christian, was normal and healthy until two years of age when he received several vaccinations on the same day. This once normal, walking, talking two-year-old no longer spoke, lost eye contact and cried endlessly while banging his head. He is now a statistic of the 1 in 50 children with autism in the U. S. Cong. Burton and the rest of us are still waiting for the Safety Studies. But in the meantime, since most doses of flu vaccine are covered by insurance, millions of infants will be injected during their "well baby visits" or be given to the pregnant mothers this year.

Worldwide, 86 million doses of Thimerosal laced vaccines will be given to children.

So besides receiving the first mercury laced flu shot in utero, a 6-month old infant will get two more flu shots 30 days apart and one a year until 18 years of age.

The EPA, Environmental Protection Agency, suggests a child would have to weigh 550 pounds to safely ingest the amount of mercury in the vaccine. I don't see anyone in this room that fits the 550 pound criteria to safely absorb that level of mercury in the flu shot, so for those of you still not convinced and thinking of getting the shot this flu season, start binge eating because most of you have a long way to go to get to the 550 pound safe weight goal.

When consenting to this vaccine for your child, a parent might want to ask the pediatrician for instructions on how to safely remove this mercury material from the central nervous system of their toddler, which by the way has been banned in Denmark, Russia, Sweden, and Norway since 1992. Not so in the U.S.

We are not a Cookie-Cutter Population

Remember I began by saying vaccination is not the only environmental insult causing our serious health issues including the 1 in 50 children with autism. It is, however, the only one directly injecting these toxic materials into our system bypassing our natural immune system response.

You can think about it this way. When would you ever be exposed to the three or four strains of flu virus in the flu shot or diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis in the Tdap vaccine or measles, mumps and rubella in the MMR vaccine on any given day? Never! When you are exposed over time, the body responds in a natural way to the invasive virus or toxin. When they are injected directly, the bodies natural defense system reacts in an unknown way for an unknown period of time. It is highly likely then that we are trading one health problem for another.

We are not a cookie-cutter population that have identical immune responses. There are many variables. Yet we are all vaccinated with the same dose of vaccines containing other toxic material as if we are all identical. I couldn't give everyone in this room an aspirin, peanuts or a penicillin shot without someone having an allergic response. I, for one, am allergic to aspirin and penicillin.

Many of us are extremely immune compromised from epigenetic history of our previously vaccinated parents and grandparents and other health history reasons. Some, like our family, have extreme reaction to vaccines. We are a vaccine vulnerable family. In spite of this, our daughters received a DPT and live oral polio vaccine on the same day. That breaks down to four vaccines in one day. Diphtheria, pertussis tetanus and polio.

The result was immediate encephalitic brain swelling noted by a high-pitched squealing sound before we even arrived home from the doctor's office. Things deteriorated from there for the next 72 hours and in the interest of time I won't go into more detail. Suffice to say, those life-threatening 72 hours changed our lives forever.

It has resulted in my many years of research of not just the DPT and polio vaccines that resulted in my children's health issues but every vaccine on the pediatric and adult schedule from that day to now.

Do your own research. Educate before you vaccinate your children or yourself. Not only do vaccines contain the particular antigen (viral material) you are vaccinating for but they also contain additional excipient materials and adjuvants so to speak, to amp up the immune response to the antigen in the body. Read the manufacturers warning insert before you consent to vaccinate if you should decide to do so. I am here in support of free and informed consent regarding vaccination and I am not attempting to foist my beliefs on you. I will confess, however, that if I could go back and have a do over day, our situation would have never happened. Sadly, I learned that once the gun is fired you can't catch the bullet. Remember you can decide to vaccinate at any point in time but the technology does not exist to unvaccinate ever.

Pharmaceutical Companies' Immunity!

For those that argue that vaccines are safe – if true, why are the pediatricians and vaccine manufacturers 100% liability free under federal law? The automobile industry, would love to have such a sweet deal. Car companies are consistently sued for violation of federal safety standards. Not so the vaccine industry even though the Supreme Court ruled in 2011 in the case of Bruesewitz v. Wyeth that vaccines are "unavoidably unsafe."

If you are injured or die, there is no liability, thanks to their protection granted in the 1986 National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act. The only recourse is to file a federal suit with the National Childhood Vaccine Injury (pseudo) Court. In this court, vaccination injury cases are heard by the Congressionally created Office of Special Masters. Who are these Special Masters? Well, there is one Chief Special Master and seven Associate Special Masters for a total of eight Special Masters. They are appointed to these positions—not elected—to serve four year terms.

The Office of Special Masters is established within the U.S. Court of Federal Claims, which appoints and removes the Special Masters and to which Federal Claims Court the Special Masters decisions are appealed. The injured party is fortunate to make it to this point in the system if the case has not been thrown out and the attorney for the injured party is up against the full power of the federal government. The Special Master will not only hear the argument of the injured party but will also be actively interacting with the attorney from the Department of Justice, Attorney General Eric Holder's Department, for those of you from the States, and that same Department of Justice attorney reports and represents to the Secretary of Health and Human Services, Kathleen Sebelius, appointed by President Obama.

By now I hope you are getting the picture of this controlled federal court system and I hope you can

understand why I referred to this appeal process as a pseudo court. Catholics in this audience from the States understand that Kathleen Sebelius, who purports to be Catholic, is no friend to the Catholic Church. Her public statements indicate that if these Catholic office holders are our friends who needs enemies?

Now for some what might be called good news. In spite of this rigged system, millions of dollars have been awarded for very obvious, provable, vaccine injuries or death cases. You can find this information if you go to their website. The website information is in your handout: www.uscfc.uscourts.gov/vaccine-programoffice-special-masters.

It is important to read about the financial awards for injuries or death before you decide to vaccinate.

- Concluded next month

Part II – Conclusion

Editor's note: This is Part II of the presentation by Barbara Skurnowicz founder and president of HealthCare Professionals for Vaccine Choice Michigan, an organization seeking legislation to prevent forced vaccinations as a condition of employment in Michigan for health care workers. She is a Catholic mother of three vaccine-injured daughters. Mrs. Skurnowicz has been researching the history and complications from the vaccination program for over 20 years after her daughters had serious adverse reactions from their childhood vaccines. This is a transcript from her speech at the "Fatima: The Path to Peace" conference in Niagara Falls, Sept 8-13, 2013.

Vaccines and Aborted Fetal Cell Lines

So now on to the hotly debated topic of aborted fetal cell line vaccinations. More are being developed but for now we are talking about the MMR (Measles, Mumps, Rubella), chickenpox, Hepatitis A, rabies and polio virus vaccines. We can't have a vaccination policy presentation especially true in this Fatima setting without addressing the moral and ethical concerns of Catholic parents, physicians and clergy regarding the use of aborted fetal cell lines in these

vaccines.

Let me begin by sourcing Children of God for Life, a Pro-Life organization whose aim is focusing on ending the use of aborted fetal or embryonic materials in medical and consumer products.

In June 2005, they received in response to a request, a letter and eight-page document from the Pontifical Academy for Life in Rome. Under the direction of the Sacred Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith and Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger (Pope Benedict XVI) the Pontifical Academy for Life clearly defined medical and parental obligations for the "grave responsibility" to use ethical vaccine alternatives to aborted fetal cell line vaccines.

We Catholics know when the Church uses the term "grave responsibility to use ethical alternatives to aborted fetal cell line vaccines", we are to take it very seriously. They further instructed that physicians and families "should take recourse, if necessary, to the use of conscientious objection with regard to the use of vaccines produced by means of cell lines of aborted human foetal origin. Equally, they should oppose by all means (in writing, through the various associations, mass media, etc.) the vaccines which do not yet have morally acceptable alternatives, creating pressure so that alternative vaccines are prepared, which are not connected with the abortion of a human foetus, and requesting rigorous legal control of the pharmaceutical industry producers."

So there it is - an explanation that should be easily understood and accepted by all Catholics. My question after reading this admonition is: How will we apply pressure for moral alternative rubella, chickenpox, Hepatitis A, rabies and poliovirus vaccines, if we are complicit in the accepted policy of using aborted fetal cell lines in these vaccines by the manufacturers? They have to be thinking, if it ain't broke, why fix it? The human diploid cells listed in the manufacture inserts for the vaccines clearly states it is "HUMAN DIPLOID CELLS" also known as aborted fetal cells. We can argue all day that the cells are far enough removed from the original abortion (decades ago) that it is no longer a sin to use the cell line of aborted babies in today's vaccines. After reviewing evidence that using abortion as a means to an end was never necessary since the rubella virus vaccine, for one, could have been cultured on animal cells like other countries have done, there is speculation that the real purpose may have been to encourage more abortions for the purpose of research which in turn has advanced huge profits for the abortionists, researchers, and the pharmaceutical industry. Have we been complicit in this effort?

The Pontifical Academy for Life has made it clear that we as Catholics have a grave obligation to find a moral and ethical alternative to these vaccines. Enough said. The entire document is posted on the Children of God for Life website: www.cogforlife.org

My hope is that every Catholic parent will utilize this extremely useful document to fight for their right as parents to refuse certain vaccines if their conscience dictates such action. The Church in its wisdom encourages such choice and there are many references at the website to enable parents to have their voices heard.

Polio

I promised that I would get back to the question of polio and what impact vaccinations had on eradicating it. I need the entire hour just for this topic but will attempt to explain with the Cliff Notes.

Remember when I mentioned that perhaps we are trading one health problem for another with vaccinations? It appears that is exactly what has happened because of the polio vaccination campaign in the 1950s. Monkey kidney cells were used to develop polio vaccines. SV-40 a cancercausing virus thrived in rhesus monkeys whose kidneys were used to produce polio vaccines. This was discovered after 100 million people had been vaccinated with these cancer-causing viruses. SV-40 was found in all three types of Albert Sabin's live oral polio vaccine and it was noted that it might cause cancer "especially when administered to human babies".

One researcher, Dr. Ben Sweet, working for the Merck Institute for Therapeutic Research credited with discovering SV-40 along with Maurice Hilleman, had this to say about his discovery. "First we knew that SV-40 had oncogenic (cancer-causing) properties in hamsters, which was bad news. Secondly, we found out that it hybridized with certain DNA viruses such that they would then have SV-40 genes attached to them. When we started growing the vaccines, we just couldn't get rid of the SV-40 contaminated virus. We tried to neutralize it, but couldn't. Now with the theoretical links to HIV and cancer, it just blows my mind." Yes, Dr. Sweet, it blows my mind too.

Later it was discovered that not only the oral vaccine was contaminated but also the injected Salk vaccine. SV-40 survived the formaldehyde Salk used to kill microbes that defiled his injectable vaccine. We now have the predicted epidemic of cancer and it is suspected that SV-40 is passed from mother to child. Today when many cancerous tumors are dissected they are finding the virus

in those who were not yet born during the 50s polio campaign.

SV-40 appears to be the gift that just keeps on giving. Currently the only polio on the planet is vaccine induced polio. The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is spending billions in Third World countries in an effort to prevent polio. Doesn't seem to be working in India where there is an attempt to end the campaign because of the number of cases of paralytic disease mimicking polio since the polio vaccinations began. I have this question: If polio has been eradicated from the planet, why is the Gates Foundation spending all this money to eradicate polio in Third World countries?

For those who believe that vaccinations are the only solution to disease, how does one explain that scarlet fever and the plague infected millions of people and vaccines were never developed for these diseases yet they disappeared as well.

Reputable historians credit multiple public health activities — sanitation, clean drinking water and nutrition reforms with reducing the incidence and severity of early problematic diseases including smallpox, plague, dysentery, scarlet fever, typhoid fever and cholera. By the 18th Century it was common knowledge that survivors of smallpox became immune to the disease by being exposed and building resistance to the disease. During the 19th and 20th Centuries smallpox continued to infect fewer and fewer susceptible people.

In 1972 the U.S. ended smallpox vaccinations. Later, President Bush fearing a biological warfare attack with smallpox after the 9/11 terrorist attack attempted to resurrect the program by getting a smallpox vaccination in public with the television cameras rolling to encourage health care workers to join in.

However, most refused. The campaign ended as fast as it began with a news report claiming that Americans' immune systems are too compromised and a smallpox vaccination campaign could potentially lead up to 10,000 deaths, so the government ended the program. I found it very interesting when reading the press article, that the government admitted that Americans are immune-compromised. It left me wondering what they think may have caused this problem.

Immunization/Vaccination

To sum up. We must take action to spread the word far and wide that we are in danger of losing an entire generation of children because of damage from too many vaccinations too soon for far too many children. We didn't connect the dots soon enough to

save many who are already affected physically and mentally.

The situation is more serious than I can describe in the hour that I have so generously been given. If your child is suffering from asthma, eczema, celiac disease, crohns, irritable bowel syndrome, stomach aches after eating gluten or dairy, gerd, acid reflux. acne, depression, these are symptoms related to autoimmune disorder and you should take steps to recover them. If your children are gaming for hours or scripting (reciting dialogue word for word from their favorite video or movie that they have watched dozens of times), this may be a way of coping with not feeling well by relaxing their stressed out affected brains. 80% of the immune system is in the gut. If immune compromised, toxins leak out of the gut, leaky gut syndrome, and affect the brain. This Obsessive Compulsive Behavior may be symptomatic of more serious health issues.

I am currently communicating with parents of a vaccine injured son who is gaming all night from sundown to sunrise and then sleeps all day. He has serious health issues and these stressed out parents are at the end of their rope. He has been diagnosed with ADD and Aspergers and they are willing to try anything to heal their son. They found me from our website and you can access us at www.HCPVC. ORG and for up-to date information follow us on Facebook at HealthCare Professionals for Vaccine Choice. I implore you to take measures to safeguard the health and the future of those entrusted to your care. You may find it hard to believe but there are forces out there that do not have good health of the population as their first priority.

Good health has not ever or will ever come in a vaccine syringe. It will come with proper nutrition, and common sense for preventing disease and by relying on the body God created to protect us from disease. If there is an outbreak of a pandemic disease, will some people die? Probably. Will it be because of lack of protection from vaccines? No such promise has ever been made with justification by any credible research. Remember the difference in the definition of Vaccination vs. Immunization that I began with. Many vaccinated people will die of diseases because

they were never properly immunized by vaccination. I hope the two definitions (explained in Part 1) are making more sense to you now.

Vaccines and Obamacare

Promise me you will do your homework when you leave here by downloading the 2013 Adult Schedule for Vaccinations for the Entire Adult Population from the Centers for Disease Control website. The enforcement will be coming with the Affordable Care Act also know as Obama Care in the U.S. Every adult and child will be on this schedule or there will be a penalty. There is a tracking system being put in place called the Immunization Information Tracking System to enter our vaccination status into a State and National Database. The Centers for Disease Control's goal is 95% compliance. I refuse to speculate what happens to those who refuse.

If you still believe that vaccines are safe and effective after what you have heard, you will not have a problem with compliance. For the rest of you, please help us tell the other side of the vaccine issue. Government, the vaccine industry and the media currently control the pro-vaccine narrative. We must insist on equal time to tell the rest of the story.

I began with a quote and will end with one from Blessed John Henry Newman "We can believe what we choose, we are answerable for what we choose to believe."

This article was reprinted from the February 2014 issue of Catholic Family News – a Roman Catholic monthly published 12 times a year. Extra copies of this reprint are available from:

Catholic Family News

In U.S.A.: M.P.O. Box 743, Niagara Falls, NY 14302 In Canada: P.O. Box 694, Niagara Falls, ON L2E 6V5

Subscriptions to Catholic Family News are obtainable at a special price of \$24.97 per year US (\$27.97 Canadian includes HST).

Sample issues available upon request. List of reprints from various issues available for \$6.00.